arXiv:1410.6939v1 [math.DG] 25 Oct 2014

Complete left-invariant affine structures on solvable non-unimodular three-dimensional Lie groups

Mohammed Guediri and Kholoud Al-Balawi

October 28, 2014

Abstract

In this paper, we shall use a method based on the theory of extensions of left-symmetric algebras to classify complete left-invariant affine real structures on solvable non-unimodular three-dimensional Lie groups.

1 Introduction

The notion of a left-symmetric algebra appeared for the first time in the work of Koszul [11] and Vinberg [16] concerning bounded homogeneous domains and convex homogeneous cones, respectively. Over the field of real numbers, left-symmetric algebras are of special interest because of their role in the differential geometry of affine manifolds (i.e., smooth manifolds with flat torsion-free affine connections), and in the representation theory of Lie groups (see [13] and [15]). In fact, for a given simply connected Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, the left-invariant affine structures on G are in one-to-one correspondence with the left-symmetric structures on $\mathcal{G}$ compatible with the Lie structure [9].

On the other hand, it is well known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between left-invariant affine structures on a Lie group G and locally simply transitive affine actions of G on an n-dimensional real vector space V (see [9]). The classification of left-invariant affine structures on a given Lie group G is then reduced to the classification of compatible left-symmetric products on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G. It has been proved in [1] that a simply connected Lie group G which acts simply transitively on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by affine transformations is necessarily solvable. Since a few years, there has been a growing interest in the study of simply transitive affine actions of Lie groups on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This interest is mostly due to the example of Benoist [2], who constructed a simply connected nilpotent Lie group not admitting any locally simply transitive affine action on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This example provided a negative answer to the following question of Milnor [13]: Does any simply connected solvable Lie group admit a simply transitive affine action on $\mathbb{R}^n$?

From another point of view, there is also the question of classifying all simply transitive affine actions of a given solvable Lie group G admitting such an action. This question, even in the abelian case $G = \mathbb{R}^k$, seems to be very hard. When G is nilpotent, the classification has been completely achieved up to dimension four ([5] and [9]).

Recently, a method based on the theory of extensions of left-symmetric algebras has been proposed in [6] to classify complete left-invariant affine real structures on a given solvable Lie group of low dimension. Since the classification in the case of solvable unimodular Lie groups of dimension three was obtained in [5], we will use that method to carry out in this paper the classification of complete left-invariant affine structures on three-dimensional solvable non-unimodular Lie groups.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will briefly recall some necessary definitions and basic results on left-symmetric algebras and their extensions. In section 3, using the classification of the three-dimensional complex simple left-symmetric algebras given in [3] and a result in [10], we shall first show that

This project was supported by King Saud University, Deanship of Scientific Research, College of Science Research Center.
2010 MSC: 17A30, 17B30, 53C05.
Keywords: Extensions of left-symmetric algebras, Left-invariant affine connections, Novikov algebras.

any complete real left-symmetric algebra A_3 of dimension 3 whose Lie algebra is solvable and non-unimodular is not simple. Therefore, we can get A_3 as an extension of complete left-symmetric algebras. By using the Lie group exponential maps, we shall deduce the classification of all complete left-invariant affine structures on solvable non-unimodular Lie groups of dimension 3 in terms of simply transitive actions of subgroups of the affine group $Aff(\mathbb{R}^3) = GL\left(\mathbb{R}^3\right) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$ (see Theorem 13).

Throughout this paper, all considered vector spaces, Lie algebras, and left-symmetric algebras are supposed to be over the field $\mathbb{R}$. We shall also suppose that all considered Lie groups are simply connected.

2 Left-symmetric algebras and their extensions

Let A be a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$. A left-symmetric product on A is a bilinear product that we denote by $x \cdot y$ satisfying

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z - (y \cdot x) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) - y \cdot (x \cdot z), \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. In this case, A together with a left-symmetric product is called left-symmetric algebra.

Now if A is a left-symmetric algebra, then the commutator

$$[x, y] = x \cdot y - y \cdot x \tag{2}$$

defines a structure of Lie algebra on A, called the associated Lie algebra. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a Lie algebra with a left-symmetric product $\cdot$ satisfying (2), then we say that this left-symmetric structure is compatible with the Lie structure on $\mathcal{G}$.

Let G be a simply connected Lie group with a left-invariant affine connection ∇. Define a product $\cdot$ on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G by

$$x \cdot y = \nabla_x y,$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. Then, the flat and torsion-free conditions on ∇ correspond to conditions (1) and (2), respectively.

Conversely, If G is a simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and $x \cdot y$ denotes a left-symmetric product on $\mathcal{G}$ compatible with the Lie bracket, then the left-invariant connection given by $\nabla_x y = x \cdot y$ defines a left-invariant affine structure ∇ on G. We deduce that if G is a simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, then the study of left-invariant affine structures on G is equivalent to the study of left-symmetric structures on $\mathcal{G}$ compatible with the Lie structure.

Let A be a left-symmetric algebra whose associated Lie algebra is $\mathcal{G}$, and let L_x and R_x denote the left and right multiplications, respectively i.e., $L_x y = x \cdot y$ and $R_x y = y \cdot x$. The identity in (1) is now equivalent to the formula

$$[L_x, L_y] = L_{[x,y]}, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A,$$

or, in other words, the linear map $L : \mathcal{G} \to End(A)$ is a representation of Lie algebras.

If a left-symmetric algebra A has no proper two-sided ideal and it is not the zero algebra of dimension 1, then A is called simple. A is called semisimple, if it is a direct sum of simple left-symmetric algebras.

We say that A is complete if R_x is a nilpotent operator for all $x \in A$. It turns out that, for a given simply connected Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, the complete left-invariant affine structures on G are in one-to-one correspondence with the complete left-symmetric structures on $\mathcal{G}$ compatible with the Lie structure. It is also known that an n-dimensional simply connected Lie group admits a complete left-invariant affine structure if and only if it acts simply transitively on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by affine transformations (see [9]). A simply connected Lie group which is acting simply transitively on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by affine transformations must be solvable according to [1]. It is well known that not every solvable (even nilpotent) Lie group can admit an affine structure (see [2]).

We say that A is a Novikov algebra if it satisfies the identity

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) \cdot y, \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in A. \tag{3}$$

In terms of left and right multiplications, (3) is equivalent to the formula

$$[R_x, R_y] = 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

The left-symmetric algebra A is called a derivation algebra if it satisfies the identity

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = (z \cdot y) \cdot x, \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in A,$$

or, equivalently, all left and right multiplications L_x and R_x are derivations of $\mathcal{G}$.

Recall that a Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is an extension of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ by the Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$ if there exists a short exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 \to \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{i} \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{G} \to 0.$$

In other words, $\mathcal{A}$ is an ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}/\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{G}$.

For (x, a) and (y, b) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \cong \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{A}$, the extended Lie bracket is given by

$$[(x, a), (y, b)] = ([x, y], [a, b] + \phi(x) b - \phi(y) a + \omega(x, y)), \tag{4}$$

where $\phi : \mathcal{G} \to Der(\mathcal{A})$ is a linear map and $\omega : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{A}$ is an alternating bilinear map such that

$$[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = \phi([x, y]) + ad_{\omega(x,y)},$$

and

$$\omega([x, y], z) - \omega(x, [y, z]) + \omega(y, [x, z]) = \phi(x)\omega(y, z) + \phi(y)\omega(z, x) + \phi(z)\omega(x, y).$$

Note here that if $\mathcal{A}$ is abelian, then ω is a 2-cocycle. (For more details, we refer to [14] and [8]).

Now we shall briefly discuss the problem of extension of a left-symmetric algebra by another left-symmetric algebra. To our knowledge, the notion of extensions of left-symmetric algebras has been considered for the first time in [9], to which we refer the reader for more details. See also [4].

Suppose that a vector space extension $\widetilde{A}$ of a left-symmetric algebra A by another left-symmetric algebra E is given. We want to define a left-symmetric structure on $\widetilde{A}$ in terms of the left-symmetric structures given on A and E. In other words, we want to define a left-symmetric product on $\widetilde{A}$ for which E becomes a two-sided ideal in $\widetilde{A}$ such that $\widetilde{A}/E \cong A$; or equivalently,

$$0 \to E \to \widetilde{A} \to A \to 0$$

becomes a short exact sequence of left-symmetric algebras.

Theorem 1 ([9]) *There exists a left-symmetric structure on $\widetilde{A}$ extending a left-symmetric algebra A by a left-symmetric algebra E if and only if there exist two linear maps $\lambda, \rho : A \to End(E)$ and a bilinear map $g : A \times A \to E$ such that, for all $x, y, z \in A$ and $a, b \in E$, the following conditions are satisfied.*

1. $\lambda_x(a \cdot b) = \lambda_x(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \lambda_x(b) - \rho_x(a) \cdot b$,
2. $\rho_x([a, b]) = a \cdot \rho_x(b) - b \cdot \rho_x(a)$,
3. $[\lambda_x, \lambda_y] - \lambda_{[x,y]} = L_{g(x,y)-g(y,x)}$,
4. $[\lambda_x, \rho_y] + \rho_y \circ \rho_x - \rho_{x \cdot y} = R_{g(x,y)}$
5. $g(x, y \cdot z) - g(y, x \cdot z) + \lambda_x(g(y, z)) - \lambda_y(g(x, z)) - g([x, y], z)$
   $-\rho_z(g(x, y) - g(y, x)) = 0$.

If the conditions of the above theorem are fulfilled, then the extended left-symmetric product on $\widetilde{A} \cong A \times E$ is given by

$$(x, a) \cdot (y, b) = \big(x \cdot y, a \cdot b + \lambda_x(b) + \rho_y(a) + g(x, y)\big). \tag{5}$$

It is remarkable that if the left-symmetric product of E is trivial, then the conditions of the above theorem simplify to the following three conditions:

(i) $[\lambda_x, \lambda_y] = \lambda_{[x,y]}$, i.e. λ is a representation of Lie algebras,

(ii) $\big[\lambda_x, \rho_y\big] = \rho_{x \cdot y} - \rho_y \circ \rho_x$.

(iii) $g(x, y \cdot z) - g(y, x \cdot z) + \lambda_x(g(y, z)) - \lambda_y(g(x, z)) - g([x, y], z)$

$-\rho_z(g(x, y) - g(y, x)) = 0.$

In this case, E becomes a A-bimodule and the extended product given in (5) simplifies too. Recall that if K is a left-symmetric algebra and V is a vector space, then we say that V is a K-bimodule if there exist two linear maps λ, $\rho : K \to End(V)$ which satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii) stated above.

Let K be a left-symmetric algebra, and suppose that a K-bimodule V is known. We denote by $L^p(K, V)$ the space of all p-linear maps from K to V, and we define two coboundary operators $\delta_1 : L^1(K, V) \to L^2(K, V)$ and $\delta_2 : L^2(K, V) \to L^3(K, V)$ as follows:

For a linear map $h \in L^1(K, V)$ we set

$$\delta_1 h(x, y) = \rho_y(h(x)) + \lambda_x(h(y)) - h(x \cdot y), \tag{6}$$

and for a bilinear map $g \in L^2(K, V)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2 g(x, y, z) &= g(x, y \cdot z) - g(y, x \cdot z) + \lambda_x(g(y, z)) - \lambda_y(g(x, z)) \\ &\quad -g([x, y], z) - \rho_z(g(x, y) - g(y, x)) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where λ and ρ are linear maps λ, $\rho : K \to End(V)$.

It is straightforward to check that $\delta_2 \circ \delta_1 = 0$. Therefore, if we set $Z^2_{\lambda,\rho}(K, V) = \ker \delta_2$ and $B^2_{\lambda,\rho}(K, V) = \operatorname{Im} \delta_1$, we can define a notion of second cohomology for the actions λ and ρ by simply setting $H^2_{\lambda,\rho}(K, V) = Z^2_{\lambda,\rho}(K, V) / B^2_{\lambda,\rho}(K, V)$. As in the case of Lie algebras, we can prove the following (see [9]).

Proposition 2 *For given linear maps λ, $\rho : K \to End(V)$, the equivalent classes of extensions*

$$0 \to V \to A \to K \to 0$$

of K by V are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of the second cohomology group $H^2_{\lambda,\rho}(K, V)$.

A left-symmetric algebras extension

$$0 \to E \xrightarrow{i} \widetilde{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} A \to 0$$

is called central if and only if $i(E) \subseteq C\left(\widetilde{A}\right)$ where

$$C\left(\widetilde{A}\right) = \left\{x \in \widetilde{A} : x \cdot y = y \cdot x = 0\right\}$$

is the center of $\widetilde{A}$. In particular, the extension is central whenever E is a trivial A-bimodule (i.e., $\lambda = \rho = 0$).

We say that the extension is exact if and only if $i(E) = C\left(\widetilde{A}\right)$. It is easy to verify (see [9]) that the extension is exact if and only if $I_{[g]} = 0$, where

$$I_{[g]} = \{x \in A : x \cdot y = y \cdot x = 0 \text{ and } g(x, y) = g(y, x) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in A\}$$

We observe that $I_{[g]}$ is depends only on the cohomology class of g, that is $I_{[g]}$ is well defined.

In case E is a trivial A-bimodule, we denote the central extension corresponding to the class $[g] \in H^2(A, E)$ by $\left(\widetilde{A}, [g]\right)$.

Let $\left(\widetilde{A}, [g]\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{A}', \left[g'\right]\right)$ be two central extensions of A by E, and $\mu \in Aut(E) = GL(E)$ and $\eta \in Aut(A)$, where $Aut(E)$ and $Aut(A)$ are the groups of left-symmetric automorphisms of E and K, respectively. It is clear that if, $h \in L^1(A, E)$, then the linear mapping $\psi : \widetilde{A} \to \widetilde{A}'$ defined by

$$\psi(x, a) = (\eta(x), \mu(a) + h(x))$$

is an isomorphism provided $g^{'}(\eta(x),\eta(y)) = \mu(g(x,y)) + \delta_1 h(x,y)$ for all $(x,y) \in A \times A$, i.e., $\eta^*\left[g^{'}\right] = \mu_*[g]$.

This allows us to define an action of the group $G = Aut(E) \times Aut(A)$ on $H^2(A,E)$ by setting

$$(\mu,\eta)\cdot[g] = \mu_*\eta^*[g]$$

or equivalently, $(\mu,\eta)\cdot g(x,y) = \mu(g(\eta(x),\eta(y)))$ for all $x,y \in A$.

Denoting the set of all exact central extensions of A by E by

$$H^2_{ex}(A,E) = \left\{[g] \in H^2(A,E) : I_{[g]} = 0\right\}$$

and the orbit of $[g]$ by $G_{[g]}$, it turns out that the following result is valid (see [9]).

Proposition 3 *Let $[g]$ and $\left[g^{'}\right]$ be two classes in $H^2_{ex}(A,E)$. Then, the central extensions $\left(\widetilde{A},[g]\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{A}^{'},\left[g^{'}\right]\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if $G_{[g]} = G_{\left[g^{'}\right]}$. In other words, the classification of the exact central extensions of A by E is, up to left-symmetric isomorphism, the orbit space of $H^2_{ex}(A,E)$ under the natural action of $G = Aut(E) \times Aut(A)$.*

We close this section by the following important result (compare to [4])

Proposition 4 *Let $0 \to I \to A \to J \to 0$ be an exact sequence of left-symmetric algebras such that A is complete. Then, I and J are complete.*

Proof. Let A be a complete left-symmetric algebra. Then R_x is nilpotent for all $x \in A$. Since I is an ideal of A, then R_x is nilpotent for all $x \in I$, that is I is complete. On the other hand, Since $J \cong A/I$, we can define for $x \in A$, $R_x\mid_J : J \to J$, by $R_x\mid_J(\overline{y}) = R_x y + I$ for all $y \in A$, $\overline{y} = y + I$. Since for all $y_1, y_2 \in A$ such that $y_1 + I = y_2 + I$ there exists $z \in I$ so that $y_2 = y_1 + z$, and

$$\begin{aligned} R_x(y_2 + I) &= R_x y_2 + I \\ &= R_x(y_1 + z) + I \\ &= R_x y_1 + R_x z + I \\ &= R_x y_1 + I \\ &= R_x(y_1 + I) \end{aligned}$$

then, $R_x\mid_J$ is well defined. We also have, for all $x, y \in A$, that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\overline{x}}\overline{y} &= (y + I)\cdot(x + I) \\ &= y \cdot x + I \\ &= R_x y + I \\ &= R_x\overline{y} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to prove that J is complete, it is enough to prove that $R_x\mid_J$ is nilpotent for all $x \in A$. Since R_x is nilpotent, then $R_x^k = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that

$$R_x^k(y) + I = I = \overline{0}$$

for all $y \in A$. Hence, $R_x^k(\overline{y}) = 0$ for all $\overline{y} \in J$, that is $R_x\mid_J$ is nilpotent for all $x \in A$, and hence J is complete. ■

3 Complete left-symmetric structures on solvable non-unimodular Lie algebras of dimension 3

Recall that a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ is unimodular if and only if $\mathrm{tr}(ad_x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$. The classification of solvable non-unimodular Lie algebras of dimension 3 can be found in [12].

Lemma 5 *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a solvable non-unimodular Lie algebra of dimension 3. Then, there is a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ so that*

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= \alpha e_2 + \beta e_3 \\ [e_1, e_3] &= \gamma e_2 + (2-\alpha) e_3 \end{aligned}$$

If we exclude the case where D is the identity matrix, then the determinant $\det D = \alpha(2-\alpha) - \beta\gamma$ provides a complete isomorphism invariant for this Lie algebra.

According to this result, we can, by simple computations, find that there are five possibilities for D :

$$\begin{aligned} D &\cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ D &\cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } 0 < |\mu| < 1 \text{ or } D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\zeta \\ \zeta & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } \zeta > 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that any solvable non-unimodular Lie algebra of dimension 3 is isomorphic to one and only one of the following Lie algebras

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{G}_{3,1}: & [e_1, e_2] = e_2 \\ \mathcal{G}_{3,2}: & [e_1, e_2] = e_2,\ [e_1, e_3] = e_3 \\ \mathcal{G}_{3,3}: & [e_1, e_2] = e_2 + e_3,\ [e_1, e_3] = e_3 \\ \mathcal{G}_{3,4}^{\mu}: & [e_1, e_2] = e_2,\ [e_1, e_3] = \mu e_3,\ 0 < |\mu| < 1 \\ \mathcal{G}_{3,5}^{\zeta}: & [e_1, e_2] = e_2 + \zeta e_3,\ [e_1, e_3] = -\zeta e_2 + e_3,\ \zeta > 0 \end{array}$$

Now let $\mathcal{G}$ be a real solvable non-unimodular Lie algebra of dimension 3. Let A_3 be a complete left-symmetric algebra whose associated Lie algebra is $\mathcal{G}$.

We shall first recall the following result from [10].

Lemma 6 *Only the complex simple left-symmetric algebras and even-dimensional complex semisimple left-symmetric algebras may have simple real forms, where a real form of a complex left-symmetric algebra A is a subalgebra A_0 of $A^{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $A_0^{\mathbb{C}} = A$. Here $A^{\mathbb{R}}$ is A regarded as a real left-symmetric algebra.*

Now, we can prove the following

Proposition 7 *A_3 is not simple. In other words, any complete left-symmetric structure on a solvable non-unimodular Lie algebra of dimension 3 is not simple.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that A_3 is simple. Then, Lemma 6 shows that the complexification $A_3^{\mathbb{C}}$ of A_3 is simple as the dimension of $A_3^{\mathbb{C}}$ is odd. We can now apply Corollary 4.2 in [3] to deduce that $A_3^{\mathbb{C}}$ is isomorphic to the complex left-symmetric algebra A_1^{-1} having a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that the only non-trivial products are

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \cdot e_3 &= -e_3, \\ e_2 \cdot e_3 &= e_3 \cdot e_2 = e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the complex Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}_3$ associated to $A_3^{\mathbb{C}} \cong A_1^{-1}$ is unimodular and hence $\mathcal{G}$ must be unimodular. This contradiction showsshows that A_3 is not simple ■

Before returning to the left-symmetric algebra A_3, we need to state the following facts without proofs.

Lemma 8 *Let A be a left-symmetric algebra with associated Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, and R a two-sided ideal in A. Then, the Lie algebra $\mathcal{R}$ associated to R is an ideal in $\mathcal{G}$.*

Lemma 9 *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a solvable non-unimodular Lie algebra of dimension 3 and let $\mathcal{I}$ be a proper ideal of $\mathcal{G}$. Then, $\mathcal{I}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}^2$, or aff$(\mathbb{R}) = \langle e_1, e_2 : [e_1, e_2] = e_2 \rangle$.*

By Proposition 7, A_3 is not simple and hence it has a proper two-sided ideal I, so we get a short exact sequence of left-symmetric algebras

$$0 \to I \xrightarrow{i} A_3 \xrightarrow{\pi} J \to 0 \tag{8}$$

If $\mathcal{I}$ is the Lie subalgebra associated to I then, by Lemma 8, $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{G}$. From Lemma 9 it follows that there are three cases to be considered according to whether $\mathcal{I}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}^2$, or aff$(\mathbb{R})$.

- Case 1. $\mathcal{I} \cong \mathbb{R}$.

In this case, the short exact sequence (8) becomes

$$0 \to \mathbb{R}_0 \to A_3 \to I_2 \to 0$$

where I_2 is a complete left-symmetric algebra of dimension 2 and $\mathbb{R}_0$ is $\mathbb{R}$ with the trivial product.

At the Lie algebra level, we have a short exact sequence of Lie algebras of the form

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{H}_2 \to 0 \tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_2$ denotes the associated Lie algebra of I_2 and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{H}_2$ by $\mathbb{R}$.

Since $\mathcal{H}_2$ is of dimension 2, then $\mathcal{H}_2$ is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$ or aff$(\mathbb{R})$.

Assume first that $\mathcal{H}_2 \cong \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, the short exact sequence (9) becomes

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \to 0$$

Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a basis for $\mathbb{R}^2$. On $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, the extended Lie bracket given by (4) takes the simplified form

$$[(x, a), (y, b)] = (0, \phi(x) b - \phi(y) a + \omega(x, y)), \tag{10}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Setting $\widetilde{e}_i = (e_i, 0)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = (0, 1)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\widetilde{e}_1, \widetilde{e}_2] &= \omega(e_1, e_2)\, \widetilde{e}_3 \\ [\widetilde{e}_1, \widetilde{e}_3] &= \phi(e_1)\, \widetilde{e}_3 \\ [\widetilde{e}_2, \widetilde{e}_3] &= \phi(e_2)\, \widetilde{e}_3 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{G}$ is solvable and non-unimodular, we can, without loss of generality, assume that $\phi(e_2) = 0$. That is

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega(e_1, e_2) \\ 0 & \phi(e_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that $\phi(e_1)$ should be non-zero, since otherwise $\mathcal{G}$ becomes unimodular. In other words,

$$D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, we shall determine all the complete left-symmetric structures on $\mathbb{R}^2$. These are described by the following lemma that we state without proof.

Lemma 10 *Up to left-symmetric isomorphism, there are two complete left-symmetric structures on $\mathbb{R}^2$ given, in a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$, by either*

(i) $e_i \cdot e_j = 0$, $i, j = 1, 2$

(ii) $e_2 \cdot e_2 = e_1$.

From now on, A_2 will denote the vector space $\mathbb{R}^2$ endowed with one of the complete left-symmetric structures described in Lemma 10.

The extended left-symmetric product on $A_2 \times \mathbb{R}_0$ given by (5) turns out to take the simplified form

$$(x,a)\cdot(y,b) = \left(x\cdot y, b\lambda_x + a\rho_y + g(x,y)\right), \tag{11}$$

for all $x, y \in A_2$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, $\rho_x, \lambda_x \in End(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in A_2$. So, we can identify ρ_x and λ_x with real numbers that we denote by ρ_x and λ_x, respectively.

Note here that $\lambda_x = \phi(x) + \rho_x$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$where $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to End(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ as in (10).

The conditions in Theorem 1 can be simplified to the following conditions

$$\rho_{(x\cdot y)} = \rho_y \circ \rho_x \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} g(x, y\cdot z) - g(y, x\cdot z) + \lambda_x(g(y,z)) - \lambda_y(g(x,z)) \\ -\rho_z(g(x,y) - g(y,x)) = 0 \end{array} \tag{13}$$

By using (10) and (11), we deduce from

$$[(x,a),(y,b)] = (x,a)\cdot(y,b) - (y,b)\cdot(x,a), \tag{14}$$

that

$$\omega(x,y) = g(x,y) - g(y,x)\ .$$

Since $\omega(e_1,e_2) = 0$, then $g(e_1,e_2) = g(e_2,e_1)$. Since $\phi(e_2) = 0$, then $\lambda_{e_2} = \rho_{e_2}$. Also, since $\phi(e_1) \neq 0$, then $\lambda_{e_1} - \rho_{e_1} \neq 0$. By applying identity (12) to $e_i \cdot e_i$, $i = 1, 2$, we deduce that $\rho = 0$. Hence $\lambda_{e_2} = 0$ and $\lambda_{e_1} \neq 0$, say $\lambda_{e_1} = \alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

In this case, the formula (6) and (7) become

$$\delta_1 h(x,y) = \lambda_x(h(y)) - h(x\cdot y)$$

and

$$\delta_2 g(x,y,z) = g(x, y\cdot z) - g(y, x\cdot z) + \lambda_x(g(y,z)) - \lambda_y(g(x,z))$$

where $h \in \mathcal{L}^1(A_2, \mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}^2(A_2, \mathbb{R})$.

According to Lemma 10, there are two cases to be considered.

1. $A_2 = \langle e_1, e_2 : e_i \cdot e_j = 0, i, j = 1, 2\rangle$.

   In this case, using the first formula above for δ_1, we get

   $$\delta_1 h = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

   where $h_{11} = \alpha h(e_1)$ and $h_{12} = \alpha h(e_2)$. Similarly, using the second formula above for δ_2, we verify easily that if g is a cocycle (i.e. $\delta_2 g = 0$) and $g_{ij} = g(e_i, e_j)$, then

   $$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

   that is $g_{12} = g_{21} = g_{22} = 0$. In this case, the class $[g] \in H^2_{\lambda,\rho}(A_2, \mathbb{R})$ of a cocycle g may be represented, in the basis above, by a matrix of the simplified form

   $$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can now determine the extended complete left-symmetric structures on A_3. By setting $\widetilde{e}_i = (e_i, 0)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = (0, 1)$ and using formula (11) we obtain that the non-zero relations in A_3 are

$$\widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_2 = s\widetilde{e}_3,$$
$$\widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_3 = \alpha\widetilde{e}_3,$$

with $\alpha = \lambda_{e_1} \neq 0$

By setting $e_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\widetilde{e}_1$, $e_2 = \widetilde{e}_3$ and $e_3 = \widetilde{e}_2$, and $t = \frac{s}{\alpha}$ we see that the new basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of A_3 satisfies

$$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2$$
$$e_1 \cdot e_3 = te_2$$

and all other products are zero. We can easily see that this product is isomorphic to

$$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2.$$

We set $N_{3,0} = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 : e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \rangle$.

2. $A_2 = \langle e_1, e_2 : e_2 \cdot e_2 = e_1 \rangle$.

   We obtain, as above, that A_3 is isomorphic to one of the following complete left-symmetric algebras

   (i) $N_{3,2} = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 : e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2, e_3 \cdot e_3 = e_1 \rangle$,

   (ii) $N_{3,3} = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 : e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2, e_3 \cdot e_3 = -e_1 \rangle$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{H}_2 \cong \text{aff}(\mathbb{R})$. Then the extended Lie bracket on $\text{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ given by (4) takes the form

$$[(x, a), (y, b)] = ([x, y], \phi(x) b - \phi(y) a + \omega(x, y)),$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $x, y \in \text{aff}(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a basis of $\text{aff}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $[e_1, e_2] = e_2$. By setting $\widetilde{e}_i = (e_i, 0)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = (0, 1)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\widetilde{e}_1, \widetilde{e}_2] &= \widetilde{e}_2 + \omega(e_1, e_2)\widetilde{e}_3 \\ [\widetilde{e}_1, \widetilde{e}_3] &= \phi(e_1)\widetilde{e}_3 \\ [\widetilde{e}_2, \widetilde{e}_3] &= \phi(e_2)\widetilde{e}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{G}$ is solvable and non-unimodular, then as above, we can assume that $\phi(e_2) = 0$. That is,

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega(e_1, e_2) \\ 0 & \phi(e_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that $\phi(e_1) + 1 \neq 0$, since otherwise $\mathcal{G}$ becomes unimodular. Now, we have the following cases.

1. If $\det D = 0$, then $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ that is, $\phi(e_1) = 0$ and $\omega(e_1, e_2) = 0$. This means that ϕ is identically zero, i.e., $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a central extension of $\text{aff}(\mathbb{R})$by $\mathbb{R}$.

2. If $\det D \neq 0$, then $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$, with $0 < |\mu| < 1$.

It is not hard to prove the following

Lemma 11 *Up to left-symmetric isomorphisms, there is a unique complete left-symmetric structure on aff$(\mathbb{R})$ which is given, relative to a basis e_1, e_2 of aff$(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $[e_1, e_2] = e_2$, by $e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2$.*

We will denote by N_2 the vector space aff($\mathbb{R}$) endowed with the complete left-symmetric product given in Lemma 11.

On the other hand, the extended left-symmetric product on $N_2 \times \mathbb{R}_0$ is given by

$$(x,a)\cdot(y,b) = (x\cdot y, b\lambda(x) + a\rho(y) + g(x,y)), \tag{15}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $x, y \in$aff($\mathbb{R}$).

The conditions in Theorem 1 can be simplified to the following conditions

$$\lambda_{[x,y]} = 0 \tag{16}$$

$$\rho_{(x\cdot y)} = \rho_y \circ \rho_x \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} g(x, y\cdot z) - g(y, x\cdot z) + \lambda_x(g(y,z)) - \lambda_y(g(x,z)) - g([x,y],z) \\ -\rho_z(g(x,y) - g(y,x)) = 0 \end{array}$$

By using (10) and (11), we deduce from

$$[(x,a),(y,b)] = (x,a)\cdot(y,b) - (y,b)\cdot(x,a),$$

that

$$\omega(x,y) = g(x,y) - g(y,x)$$

From condition (16), we get $\lambda_{e_2} = 0$. Applying the identity (17) above to $e_i \cdot e_i$, $i = 1, 2$, we deduce that $\rho = 0$ and hence $\lambda_{e_1} = \phi(e_1)$.

Assume first that $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, that is, $\omega(e_1, e_2) = 0$ and $\phi(e_1) = 0$, then $\lambda = \rho = 0$. Thus, the extension is central.

We know that the classification of the exact central extension of N_2 by $\mathbb{R}_0$ is, up to left-symmetric isomorphism, the orbit space of $H^2_{ex}(N_2, \mathbb{R}_0)$ under the natural action of $G = Aut(\mathbb{R}_0) \times Aut(N_2)$ (Proposition 3). So, we must compute $H^2_{ex}(N_2, \mathbb{R}_0)$. Since $\mathbb{R}_0$ is a trivial N_2-bimodule, then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \delta_1 h(x,y) & = & -h(x\cdot y), \\ \delta_2 g(x,y,z) & = & g(x, y\cdot z) - g(y, x\cdot z) - g([x,y],z), \end{array}$$

where $h \in \mathcal{L}^1(N_2, \mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}^2(N_2, \mathbb{R})$. This implies that, with respect to the basis e_1, e_2 of N_2, $\delta_1 h$ is of the form

$$\delta_1 h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $h_{12} = -h(e_2)$.

Observe that if g is a $2-$cocycle (i.e. $\delta_2 g = 0$), then

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g_{ij} = g(e_i, e_j)$. Hence, $[g] \in H^2(N_2, \mathbb{R})$ can be represented as a matrix with respect to $\{e_1, e_2\}$ by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

We determine, in this case, the extended left-symmetric structure on A_3. By setting $\widetilde{e}_i = (e_i, 0)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = (0, 1)$, and using formula (15), we find

$$\widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_1 = t\widetilde{e}_3, \qquad \widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_2 = \widetilde{e}_2$$

and all other products are zero, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote $\mathcal{G}$ endowed with this structure by $N_{3,t}$.

Recall that the extension

$$0 \to \mathbb{R}_0 \to A_3 \to N_2 \to 0$$

is exact (i.e. $i(\mathbb{R}_0) = C(A_2)$) if and only if $I_{[g]} = \{0\}$.

Let $x = ae_1 + be_2 \in I_{[g]}$. Then computing all the products $x \cdot e_i = e_i \cdot x = 0$, we deduce that $x = 0$, that is the extension is exact.

Let $N_{3,t}$, $N_{3,t'}$ be two left-symmetric algebras as above. We know that $N_{3,t}$ is isomorphic to $N_{3,t'}$ if and only if there exists $(\alpha, \eta) \in Aut(\mathbb{R}_0) \times Aut(N_2) = \mathbb{R}^* \times Aut(N_2)$ such that for all $x, y \in N_2$, we have

$$g'(x,y) = \alpha g(\eta(x), \eta(y)). \tag{18}$$

Now, we have to calculate $Aut(N_2)$. Let $\eta \in Aut(N_2)$ so that, with respect to the basis e_1, e_2 of N_2 with $e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2$,

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\eta(e_2) = \eta(e_1 \cdot e_2) = \eta(e_1) \cdot \eta(e_2)$, then $b = 0$ and $d = ad$. Also $0 = \eta(e_1 \cdot e_1) = \eta(e_1) \cdot \eta(e_1)$ which implies that $a = 0$ or $c = 0$. Since $\det \eta \neq 0$, then $d \neq 0$ and hence $a = 1$ and $c = 0$. This means that

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix},$$

with $d \neq 0$. We shall now apply formula (18). For this we recall first that in the basis e_1, e_2, the classes g and g' corresponding to $N_{3,t}$ and $N_{3,t'}$ have, respectively, the forms

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } g' = \begin{pmatrix} t' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

From $g'(e_1, e_1) = \alpha g(\eta(e_1), \eta(e_1))$, we get

$$t' = \alpha t$$

Hence $N_{3,t}$ and $N_{3,t'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $t' = \alpha t$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

Notice that if $t = 0$, we obtain the complete left-symmetric algebra $N_{3,0}$ described above. If $t \neq 0$, we obtain, by setting $e_i = \widetilde{e}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and $e_3 = t\widetilde{e}_3$, the complete left-symmetric algebra

$$N_{3,1} = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 : e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_3, e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \rangle$$

Assume now that $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, that is, $\omega(e_1, e_2) = 0$ and $\phi(e_1) = 1$. Then $\lambda(e_1) = \phi(e_1) = 1$. We deduce, in this case, that, in the basis e_1, e_2 of N_2, the class $[g] \in H^2_{\lambda,\rho}(N_2, \mathbb{R})$ of a cocycle g may be represented by a matrix of the simplified form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We determine, in this case, the extended complete left-symmetric structure on A_3. By setting $\widetilde{e}_i = (e_i, 0)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = (0, 1)$ and using formula (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_2 &= \widetilde{e}_2 + t\widetilde{e}_3 \\ \widetilde{e}_2 \cdot \widetilde{e}_1 &= t\widetilde{e}_3 \\ \widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_3 &= \widetilde{e}_3 \end{aligned}$$

We denote this left-symmetric algebra by $B_{3,t}$. Notice that if $t = 0$, we obtain the complete left-symmetric algebra $B_{3,0}$ with the non-zero relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2, \\ e_1 \cdot e_3 &= e_3. \end{aligned}$$

If $t \neq 0$, we obtain, by setting $e_i = \widetilde{e}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and $e_3 = t\widetilde{e}_3$, the complete left-symmetric algebra $B_{3,1}$ with the non-zero relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2 + e_3 \\ e_2 \cdot e_1 &= e_3 \\ e_1 \cdot e_3 &= e_3 \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ that is, $\omega(e_1, e_2) = 1$ and $\phi(e_1) = 1$. Hence $\lambda(e_1) = \phi(e_1) = 1$. Using the same method as above, it follows that the class $[g] \in H^2_{\lambda,\rho}(N_2, \mathbb{R})$ of a cocycle g takes the reduced form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t-1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We determine, in this case, the extended complete left-symmetric structures on A_3. By setting $\widetilde{e}_i = (e_i, 0)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = (0, 1)$ and using formula (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_2 &= \widetilde{e}_2 + t\widetilde{e}_3 \\ \widetilde{e}_2 \cdot \widetilde{e}_1 &= (t-1)\widetilde{e}_3 \\ \widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_3 &= \widetilde{e}_3 \end{aligned}$$

We denote such a left-symmetric algebra by $C_{3,t}$. Notice that if $t = 1$, we obtain the complete left-symmetric algebra $C_{3,1}$ with the non-zero relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2 + e_3, \\ e_1 \cdot e_3 &= e_3, \end{aligned}$$

and if $t \neq 1$, we obtain the complete left-symmetric algebra $C_{3,t}$ with the non-zero relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2 + te_3 \\ e_2 \cdot e_1 &= (t-1)e_3 \\ e_1 \cdot e_3 &= e_3 \end{aligned}$$

where different values of t give non-isomorphic complete left-symmetric algebras.

Assume finally that $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$, with $0 < |\mu| < 1$, that is $\omega(e_1, e_2) = 0$ and $\phi(e_1) = \mu$. Hence $\lambda(e_1) = \phi(e_1) = \mu$. It follows that the class $[g] \in H^2_{\lambda,\rho}(N_2, \mathbb{R})$ of a cocycle g is identically zero.

We determine, in this case, the extended complete left-symmetric structures on A_3. By setting $\widetilde{e}_i = (e_i, 0)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = (0, 1)$ and using formula (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_2 &= \widetilde{e}_2. \\ \widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_3 &= \mu\widetilde{e}_3. \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < |\mu| < 1$. We set

$$D_{3,1}(\mu) = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 : e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2, e_1 \cdot e_3 = \mu e_3 \rangle$$

where $0 < |\mu| < 1$.

- Case 2. $\mathcal{I} \cong \text{aff}(\mathbb{R})$.

In this case, the short exact sequence (8) becomes

$$0 \to N_2 \to A_3 \to \mathbb{R}_0 \to 0 \tag{19}$$

where N_2 is the complete left-symmetric algebra whose associated Lie algebra is aff($\mathbb{R}$) and $\mathbb{R}_0$ is the trivial left-symmetric algebra over $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_0 \to A_3$ be a section and set $\sigma(1) = x_\circ \in A_3$ and define two linear maps $\lambda, \rho \in End(N_2)$ by putting $\lambda(y) = x_\circ \cdot y$ and $\rho(y) = y \cdot x_\circ$. By setting $e = x_\circ \cdot x_\circ$, we see that $e \in N_2$. Let $g : \mathbb{R}_0 \times \mathbb{R}_0 \to N_2$ be the bilinear map defined by $g(a,b) = \sigma(a) \cdot \sigma(b) - \sigma(a \cdot b)$. Since the complete left-symmetric structure on $\mathbb{R}$ is trivial, then $g(a,b) = abe$, or equivalently $g(1,1) = e$. Also we can show that $\delta_2 g = 0$, i.e., $g \in Z^2_{\lambda,\rho}(\mathbb{R}_0, N_2)$.

In this case, the extended left-symmetric product on $\mathbb{R}_0 \oplus N_2$ given by (5) takes the simplified form

$$(a,x) \cdot (b,y) = (0, x \cdot y + a\lambda(y) + b\rho(x) + abe),$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y \in N_2$.

The conditions in Theorem 1 can be simplified to the following conditions

$$\lambda(x \cdot y) = \lambda(x) \cdot y + x \cdot \lambda(y) - \rho(x) \cdot y \tag{20}$$

$$\rho([x,y]) = x \cdot \rho(y) - y \cdot \rho(x) \tag{21}$$

$$[\lambda, \rho] + \rho^2 = R_e \tag{22}$$

Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to Der(\mathrm{aff}(\mathbb{R}))$, be a derivation of aff($\mathbb{R}$). Set

$$\phi(1) = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to a basis e_1, e_2 of aff($\mathbb{R}$) satisfying $[e_1, e_2] = e_2$. From the identity $\phi(1)e_2 = [\phi(1)e_1, e_2] + [e_1, \phi(1)e_2]$, we deduce that $a = c = 0$, hence

$$\phi(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$$

Let

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to a basis e_1, e_2 of aff($\mathbb{R}$) satisfying $[e_1, e_2] = e_2$. Applying formula (21) to e_2, we get $\beta_1 = 0$. Since $\phi(1) = \lambda - \rho$, we deduce that, relative to the basis e_1, e_2, we have

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 + b & \beta_2 + d \end{pmatrix}$$

Applying formula (20) to all products of the form $e_i \cdot e_j$, $i = 1, 2$, we get $\alpha_2 + b = 0$. Moreover, by applying formula (22) to e_1 and e_2, we get $\alpha_1 = \beta_2 = 0$. Thus

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, since $e \in N_2$, then $e = te_1 + se_2$ for some $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Formula (22) when applied to e_1 gives

$$-bde_2 = se_2$$

for which we get that $e = x_\circ \cdot x_\circ = te_1 - bde_2$, $t \in \dot{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence we get a left-symmetric product on A_3.

Now, let us write down the structure of A_3 using a basis. From above we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2, \qquad e_1 \cdot x_\circ = -be_2 \\ x_\circ \cdot e_2 &= de_2, \qquad x_\circ \cdot x_\circ = te_1 - bde_2,\ t \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_0 \in A_3$ and $\pi(x_0) = 1$, then $x_0 \in A_3 \setminus N_2$. Indeed if $x_0 \in N_2$, then the exactness of the short sequence (19) implies that $x_0 \in i(N_2) = \ker \pi$, a contradiction. This implies that, relative to a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of A_3, x_0 is of the form $x_0 = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 + \gamma e_3$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\gamma \neq 0$. In this case, we can, without loss of generality, assume that $\gamma = 1$. Thus, $e_3 = x_0 - \alpha e_1 - \beta e_2$. Since $e_1 \cdot x_\circ = -be_2$ we get that

$$e_1 \cdot e_3 = -(b + \beta)e_2,$$

also since $x_\circ \cdot e_2 = de_2$ we get

$$e_3 \cdot e_2 = (d - \alpha)\, e_2.$$

Since $x_\circ \cdot x_\circ = te_1 - bde_2$, we deduce that

$$e_3 \cdot e_3 = te_1 + (\alpha b + \alpha\beta - bd - \beta d)\, e_2.$$

Since α, β are arbitrary, we can choose α, β so that $e_3 = x_\circ - de_1 - be_2$. Hence the left-symmetric product on A_3 is given, relative the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, by the non-zero relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot e_2 &= e_2 \\ e_3 \cdot e_3 &= te_1, \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $t = 0$, we obtain the complete left-symmetric algebra $N_{3,0}$. If $t \neq 0$, we obtain, by setting $\widetilde{e}_i = e_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|t|}} e_3$, that A_3 is isomorphic to one of the left-symmetric algebras $N_{3,2}$ or $N_{3,3}$ given above.

- Case 3.$\mathcal{I} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$.

In this case, the short exact sequence (8) becomes

$$0 \to A_2 \to A_3 \to \mathbb{R}_0 \to 0$$

where A_2 is a complete left-symmetric algebra whose Lie algebra is $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}_0$ is the trivial left-symmetric algebra over $\mathbb{R}$.

At the Lie algebra level, we have a short exact sequence of Lie algebras of the form

$$0 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathbb{R} \to 0$$

Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to Der\left(\mathbb{R}^2\right) \cong End\left(\mathbb{R}^2\right)$, be a derivation of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Relative to a basis e_1, e_2 of $\mathbb{R}^2$, set

$$\phi(1) = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$$

In this case, the extended Lie bracket on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, given by (4), takes the simplified form

$$[(a, x), (b, y)] = (0, \phi(a)\, y - \phi(b)\, x + \omega(a, b)),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. By setting $\widetilde{e}_1 = (1, 0)$ and $\widetilde{e}_{i+1} = (0, e_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\widetilde{e}_1, \widetilde{e}_2] &= a\widetilde{e}_1 + b\widetilde{e}_2 \\ [\widetilde{e}_1, \widetilde{e}_3] &= c\widetilde{e}_1 + d\widetilde{e}_2 \\ [\widetilde{e}_2, \widetilde{e}_3] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5, we obtain that, relative to the basis e_1, e_2,

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a + d \neq 0$. Note that, in this case, ω may not be zero, that is, the extensions of $\mathbb{R}$ by $\mathbb{R}^2$ are not necessarily semidirect products of $\mathbb{R}$ by $\mathbb{R}^2$.

According to Lemma 5, there are five cases to be considered

$$D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\zeta \\ \zeta & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\zeta > 0$ and $0 < |\mu| < 1$.

Let $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_0 \to A_3$ be a section and set $\sigma(1) = x_\circ \in A_3$ and define two linear maps $\lambda, \rho \in End(A_2)$ by putting $\lambda(y) = x_\circ \cdot y$ and $\rho(y) = y \cdot x_\circ$. By setting $e = x_\circ \cdot x_\circ$, we see that $e \in A_2$. Let $g : \mathbb{R}_0 \times \mathbb{R}_0 \to A_2$ be the bilinear map defined by $g(a,b) = \sigma(a) \cdot \sigma(b) - \sigma(a \cdot b)$. Since the complete left-symmetric structure on $\mathbb{R}$ is trivial, then $g(a,b) = abe$, or equivalently $g(1,1) = e$. Also we can show that $\delta_2 g = 0$, i.e., $g \in Z^2_{\lambda,\rho}(\mathbb{R}_0, A_2)$.

The extended left-symmetric product on $\mathbb{R}_0 \oplus A_2$ given by (5) is then takes the simplified form

$$(a,x) \cdot (b,y) = (0, x \cdot y + a\lambda(y) + b\rho(x) + abe) \tag{23}$$

for all $x, y \in A_2$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

The conditions in Theorem 1 can be simplified to the following conditions

$$\lambda(x \cdot y) = \lambda(x) \cdot y + x \cdot \lambda(y) - \rho(x) \cdot y \tag{24}$$

$$x \cdot \rho(y) - y \cdot \rho(x) = 0 \tag{25}$$

$$[\lambda, \rho] + \rho^2 = R_e \tag{26}$$

According to Lemma 10, we have the following cases of A_2

1. $A_2 = \langle e_1, e_2 : e_i \cdot e_j = 0, i, j = 1, 2 \rangle$.

   Assume first that $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and let

   $$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

   relative to the basis e_1, e_2 of A_2. Since $\phi(1) = \lambda - \rho$, we deduce that, relative to the basis e_1, e_2, we have

   $$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

   Applying formula (26) to e_2, we obtain $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$. The same formula when applied to e_1 yields $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$. It follows that ρ is identically zero and

   $$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

   We can easily show that the condition (26) above is satisfied for all $e = x_\circ \cdot x_\circ = se_1 + te_2$, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence we get a left-symmetric product on A_3.

   Now, let us write down the structure of A_3 using a basis. From above we have

   $$x_\circ \cdot e_1 = e_1, \qquad x_\circ \cdot x_\circ = se_1 + te_2.$$

   We can easily prove that $x_0 \in A_3 \setminus A_2$. This implies that, relative to a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of A_3, x_0 is of the form $x_0 = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 + \gamma e_3$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\gamma \neq 0$. In this case, we can, without loss of generality, assume that $\gamma = 1$. Thus, $e_3 = x_0 - \alpha e_1 - \beta e_2$. Since $x_\circ \cdot e_1 = e_1$ we get that

   $$e_3 \cdot e_1 = e_1$$

   also since $x_\circ \cdot x_\circ = se_1 + te_2$, we deduce that

   $$e_3 \cdot e_3 = (s - \alpha) e_1 + te_2.$$

   Since α, β are arbitrary, we can choose α, β so that $e_3 = x_\circ - se_1$. Hence the left-symmetric product on A_3 is given, relative to the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of A_3, by the non-zero relations

   $$\begin{aligned} e_3 \cdot e_1 &= e_1 \\ e_3 \cdot e_3 &= te_2 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $t = 0$, we find the complete left-symmetric algebra $N_{3,0}$. If $t \neq 0$, we get, by setting $\widetilde{e}_1 = e_3$, $\widetilde{e}_2 = e_1$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = te_2$, that A_3 is isomorphic to the complete left-symmetric algebra $N_{3,1}$.

Assume then that $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and let

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

relative to the basis e_1, e_2 of A_2. Since $\phi(1) = \lambda - \rho$, we deduce that, relative to the basis e_1, e_2, we have

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By applying formula (26) to e_1 and e_2, we get

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

and $e = x_\circ \cdot x_\circ = \alpha^2 e_1 + \alpha e_2$.

Similarly, we find that, relative to the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of A_3 with $e_3 = x_\circ + \alpha^2 e_1 - \alpha e_2$, the left-symmetric product on A_3 is given by the non-zero relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_3 \cdot e_1 &= e_1 \\ e_3 \cdot e_2 &= \alpha e_1 + e_2 \\ e_2 \cdot e_3 &= \alpha e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $\alpha = 0$, we get, by setting $\widetilde{e}_1 = e_3$, $\widetilde{e}_2 = e_1$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = e_2$, the complete left-symmetric algebra $B_{3,0}$. If $t \neq 0$, we get, by setting $\widetilde{e}_1 = e_3$, $\widetilde{e}_2 = e_2$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = \alpha e_1$, that A_3 is isomorphic to the complete left-symmetric algebras $B_{3,1}$.

Assume now that $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and let

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

relative to the basis e_1, e_2 of A_2. Since $D = \lambda - \rho$, we deduce that, relative to the basis e_1, e_2, we have

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 & \beta_1 + 1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By applying formula (26) to e_1 and e_2, we get

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha + 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

and $e = x_\circ \cdot x_\circ = \alpha e_1 + \alpha e_2$.

Similarly, we find that, relative to a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of A_3 with $e_3 = x_\circ + 2\alpha^2 e_1 - \alpha e_2$, the left-symmetric product on A_3 is given by the non-zero relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_3 \cdot e_1 &= e_1 \\ e_3 \cdot e_2 &= (\alpha + 1) e_1 + e_2 \\ e_2 \cdot e_3 &= \alpha e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $\alpha = 0$, we get, by setting $\widetilde{e}_1 = e_3$, $\widetilde{e}_2 = e_2$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = e_1$, the complete left-symmetric algebra $C_{3,1}$. If $\alpha \neq 0$, we get, by setting $\alpha = t - 1$ with $t \neq 1$, the complete left-symmetric algebra $C_{3,t}$ where different values of t give non-isomorphic complete left-symmetric algebras.

Assume then that $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$, where $0 < |\mu| < 1$, and let

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

relative to the basis e_1, e_2 of A_2. Since $\phi(1) = \lambda - \rho$, we deduce that, relative to the basis e_1, e_2, we have

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 + \mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

By applying formula (26) to e_1 and e_2, we obtain that ρ is identically zero,

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

and $e = x_\circ \cdot x_\circ = e_1 + \mu e_2$.

Similarly, we find that, relative to a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of A_3 with $e_3 = x_\circ - e_1 - e_2$, the left-symmetric product on A_3 is given by the non-zero relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_3 \cdot e_1 &= e_1 \\ e_3 \cdot e_2 &= \mu e_2. \end{aligned}$$

By setting $\widetilde{e}_1 = e_3$, $\widetilde{e}_2 = e_1$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = e_2$, we get the complete left-symmetric algebra $D_{3,1}(\mu)$ where $0 < |\mu| < 1$.

Assume finally that $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\zeta \\ \zeta & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\zeta > 0$, and let

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to the basis e_1, e_2 of A_2. Since $\phi(1) = \lambda - \rho$, we deduce that, relative to the basis e_1, e_2 above, we have

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 & \beta_1 - \zeta \\ \alpha_2 + \zeta & \beta_2 + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By applying formula (26) to e_1 and e_2, we obtain that ρ is identically zero,

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\zeta \\ \zeta & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $e = x_\circ \cdot x_\circ = 2\zeta e_1 + \left(\zeta^2 - 1\right) e_2$.

Similarly, we find that, relative to a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of A_3 with $e_3 = x_\circ - \zeta e_1 + e_2$, the left-symmetric product on A_3 is given by the non-zero relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_3 \cdot e_1 &= e_1 + \zeta e_2 \\ e_3 \cdot e_2 &= -\zeta e_1 + e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\widetilde{e}_1 = e_3$, $\widetilde{e}_2 = e_1$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = e_2$. Then, the non-zero relations above become

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_2 &= \widetilde{e}_2 + \zeta \widetilde{e}_3, \\ \widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_3 &= -\zeta \widetilde{e}_2 + \widetilde{e}_3. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$E_{3,\zeta} = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 : e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 + \zeta e_3, e_1 \cdot e_3 = -\zeta e_2 + e_3, \zeta > 0 \rangle.$$

2. $A_2 = \langle e_1, e_2 : e_2 \cdot e_2 = e_1 \rangle$.

Let

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to the basis e_1, e_2 of A_2. By applying formula (25) to e_1 and e_2, we get that $\alpha_2 = 0$.

Assume first that $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, as $\phi(1) = \lambda - \rho$, we deduce that, relative to the basis e_1, e_2, we have

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 & \beta_1 \\ 0 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

By applying formula (26) to e_1 and e_2, we get that $\alpha_1 = \beta_2 = 0$. Moreover, by applying formula (24) to all products of the form $e_i \cdot e_j$, $i, j = 1, 2$, we get that $1 = 0$, a contradiction. Thus D can not be of this form. Similarly, we can prove that D can not be of the forms $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, or $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\zeta \\ \zeta & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\zeta > 0$.

Assume that $D \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$, where $0 < |\mu| < 1$, Then, as $\phi(1) = \lambda - \rho$, we deduce that

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + 1 & \beta_1 \\ 0 & \beta_2 + \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

By applying formula (26) to e_1 and e_2, we get that $\alpha_1 = \beta_2 = 0$. Moreover, by applying formula (24) to all products of the form $e_i \cdot e_j$, $i, j = 1, 2$, we get that $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

and $e = x_\circ \cdot x_\circ = te_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha e_2$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Similarly, we find that, relative to a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of A_3 with $e_3 = x_\circ + \left(\alpha^2 - t\right) e_1 - \alpha e_2$, the left-symmetric product on A_3 is given by the non-zero relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_2 \cdot e_2 &= e_1, \\ e_3 \cdot e_1 &= e_1, \\ e_3 \cdot e_2 &= \frac{1}{2} e_2, \end{aligned}$$

Set $\widetilde{e}_1 = e_3$, $\widetilde{e}_2 = e_1$ and $\widetilde{e}_3 = e_2$. Then the non-zero relations above become

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{e}_2 \cdot \widetilde{e}_2 &= \widetilde{e}_1, \\ \widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_2 &= \widetilde{e}_2, \\ \widetilde{e}_1 \cdot \widetilde{e}_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{e}_3 \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$D_{3,2} = \left\langle e_1, e_2, e_3 : e_2 \cdot e_2 = e_1, e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2, e_1 \cdot e_3 = \frac{1}{2} e_3 \right\rangle.$$

3.1 The classification

We can now state the main result of this paper

Theorem 12 *Let A_3 be a three dimensional complete left-symmetric algebra whose associated Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ is solvable and non-unimodular. Then A_3 is isomorphic to one of the following left-symmetric algebras:*

Name	*Non-zero product*	*Lie algebra*	*Remarks*
$N_{3,0}$	$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2$	$\mathcal{G}_{3,1}$	*N, D, S*
$N_{3,1}$	$e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_3,\ e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2$	$\mathcal{G}_{3,1}$	*N, D, S*
$N_{3,2}$	$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2,\ e_3 \cdot e_3 = e_1$	$\mathcal{G}_{3,1}$	*S*
$N_{3,3}$	$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2,\ e_3 \cdot e_3 = -e_1$	$\mathcal{G}_{3,1}$	*S*
$B_{3,0}$	$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2,\ e_1 \cdot e_3 = e_3$	$\mathcal{G}_{3,2}$	*N, D, S*
$B_{3,1}$	$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 + e_3,$ $e_2 \cdot e_1 = e_3, e_1 \cdot e_3 = e_3$	$\mathcal{G}_{3,2}$	*D*
$C_{3,1}$	$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 + e_3,\ e_1 \cdot e_3 = e_3$	$\mathcal{G}_{3,3}$	*N, D, S*
$C_{3,t}$	$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 + te_3,\ e_1 \cdot e_3 = e_3,$ $e_2 \cdot e_1 = (t-1)\, e_3, , t \neq 1$	$\mathcal{G}_{3,3}$	*D*
$D_{3,1}(\mu)$	$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2,$ $e_1 \cdot e_3 = \mu e_3,\ 0 < \lvert\mu\rvert < 1$	$\mathcal{G}_{3,4}^{\mu}$	*N, D, S*
$D_{3,2}$	$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2, e_1 \cdot e_3 = \frac{1}{2}e_3,$ $e_2 \cdot e_2 = e_1$	$\mathcal{G}_{3,4}^{\frac{1}{2}}$	*N*
$E_{3,1}(\zeta)$	$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 + \zeta e_3,$ $e_1 \cdot e_3 = -\zeta e_2 + e_3,\ \zeta > 0$	$\mathcal{G}_{3,5}^{\zeta}$	*N, D, S*

Here, the letter N means that the left-symmetric algebra A_3 is Novikov, the letter D means that A_3 is derivation and the letter S means that A_3 satisfying $[x, y] \cdot z = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in A_3$.

Remark 1 *We note that left-symmetric algebras satisfying the identity $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = (y \cdot x) \cdot z$ for all $x, y, z \in A$ (or equivalently, the identity $[x, y] \cdot z = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in A$) are of special interest because they correspond to locally simply transitive affine actions of Lie groups G on a vector space E such that the commutator subgroup $[G, G]$ is acting by translations. These left-symmetric algebras have been considered and studied in [7].*

We note that the mapping $X \to (L_X, X)$ is a Lie algebra representation of $\mathcal{G}$ in $\mathrm{aff}(\mathbb{R}^3) = End\left(\mathbb{R}^3\right) \bigoplus \mathbb{R}^3$. By using the exponential maps, Theorem 12 can now be stated, in terms of simply transitive actions of subgroups of the affine group $Aff(\mathbb{R}^3) = GL\left(\mathbb{R}^3\right) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^3$, as follows

To state it, define the continuous functions f, g, h, k and ϕ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^x - 1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 1, & x = 0 \end{cases}, \qquad g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^x - x - 1}{x^2}, & x \neq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\cos x - 1}{x} + \frac{x}{2}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}, \qquad k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin x - x}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n x^n}{(n+1)!}$$

Theorem 13 *Suppose that the Lie group G of the non-unimodular Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of dimension 3 acts simply transitively by affine transformations on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then, as a subgroup of $Aff(\mathbb{R}^3)$, G is conjugate to one of the following subgroups:*

$$G_{A_{3,0}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ bf(a) \\ c \end{bmatrix}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$G_{A_{3,1}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ a & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ bf(a) \\ c+\frac{1}{2}a^2 \end{bmatrix}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$G_{A_{3,2}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & c \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a+\frac{1}{2}c^2 \\ bf(a) \\ c \end{bmatrix}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$G_{A_{3,3}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -c \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a-\frac{1}{2}c^2 \\ bf(a) \\ c \end{bmatrix}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$G_{B_{3,0}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^a \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ bf(a) \\ cf(a) \end{bmatrix}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$G_{B_{3,1}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ bf(a) & ae^a & e^a \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ bf(a) \\ (ab+c)f(a) \end{bmatrix}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$G_{C_{3,1}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ 0 & ae^a & e^a \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ bf(a) \\ cf(a)+b\phi(a) \end{bmatrix}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$G_{C_{3,t}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ (t-1)bf(a) & tae^a & e^a \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ bf(a) \\ (tab+c-b)f(a)+b \end{bmatrix}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \neq 1 \right\}$$

$$G_{D_{3,1}(\mu)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\mu a} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ bf(a) \\ cf(\mu a) \end{bmatrix}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, 0 < |\mu| < 1$$

$$G_{D_{3,2}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & bf(a) & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\frac{1}{2}a} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a+b^2g(a) \\ bf(a) \\ cf\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$G_{E_3(\zeta)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a\cos\zeta a & -e^a\sin\zeta a \\ 0 & e^a\sin\zeta a & e^a\cos\zeta a \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b(f(a)+k(\zeta a))+c(h(\zeta a)-\zeta\phi(a)) \\ b(\zeta\phi(a)-h(\zeta a))+c(f(a)+k(\zeta a)) \end{bmatrix}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{R}, \zeta > 0 \right\}$$

References

[1] L. Auslander, *Simply transitive groups of affine motions,* Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977), 809-826.

[2] Y. Benoist, *Une nilvariété non affine*, J. Differential Geom. **41** (1995), 21-52.

[3] D. Burde, *Simple left-symmetric algebras with solvable Lie algebra,* Manuscripta Math. **95** (1998), 397-411.

[4] K. Chang, H. Kim, and H. Lee, *Radicals of a left-symmetric algebra on a nilpotent Lie group,* Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **41** (2004), 359-369.

[5] D. Fried and W. Goldman, *Three dimensional affine crystallographic groups,* Advances in Math. **47** (1983), 1-49.

[6] M. Guediri, *Classification of complete left-invariant affine structures on the oscillator group*, Math. Commun. **19** (2014), 343–362.

[7] M. Guediri, *On a remarkable class of left-symmetric algebras and its relationship with the class of Novikov algebras*, arXiv:1212.3904 [math.RA].

[8] N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Dover Puplications, Inc. New York 1979.

[9] H. Kim, *Complete left-invariant affine structures on nilpotent Lie groups*, J. Diff. Geom. **24** (1986), 373-394.

[10] X. Kong, C. M. Bai, and D. J. Meng, *On real simple left-symmetric algebras*, Comm. in Algebra **40** (2012), 1641-1668.

[11] J. Koszul, *Domaines bornés homogénes et orbites de groupes de transformations affines*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **89** (1961), 515-533.

[12] J. Milnor, *Curvatures of left Invariant metrics on Lie Groups*, Advances in Math. **21** (1976), 293-329.

[13] J. Milnor, *On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds, Adv. Math.* **25** (1977), 178-187.

[14] K. H. Neeb, *Non-anelian extensions of topological Lie algebras*, Comm. in Algebra **34** (2006), 991-1041.

[15] D. Segal, *The structure of complete left-symmetric algebras*, Math. Ann. **293** (1992), 569-578.

[16] E. Vinberg, *The theory of convex homogeneous cones*, Transl. Moscow Math. Soc. **12** (1963), 340-403.

Department of Mathematics,
College of Science,
King Saud University,
P.O.Box 2455, Riyadh 11451
Saudi Arabia

E-mail addresses: mguediri@ksu.edu.sa (M. Guediri),
ksf_math@hotmail.com (K. Al-Balawi).